

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS  
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS  
No. 10-813V  
Filed: February 23, 2015  
For Publication**

\*\*\*\*\*  
DAVID HELMAN, \*  
 \*  
 \* Petitioner, \* Attorney Fees and Costs;  
 \* v. \* Stipulation  
 \*  
 \* SECRETARY OF HEALTH \*  
 \* AND HUMAN SERVICES, \*  
 \*  
 \* Respondent. \*  
\*\*\*\*\*

Ronald C. Homer, Hogan, Conway, Homer & Chin-Caplan, P.C., MA, for petitioner.  
Justine E. Walters, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

**DECISION ON ATTORNEY’S FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>**

**Vowell**, Chief Special Master:

In this case under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program [hereinafter “the Program”],<sup>2</sup> petitioner filed a motion for an award of attorney fees and costs on February 5, 2015. On February 23, 2015, the parties filed a Stipulation of Fact Concerning Attorneys’ Fees and Costs [“Stipulation”]. Petitioner also filed a Notice on February 23, 2015, which included a statement pursuant to General Order #9 setting forth petitioner’s personal litigation costs. The Stipulation indicates that, after informal discussions with respondent, petitioner now request an amount to which respondent does not object.

I find that this petition was brought in good faith and that there existed a reasonable basis for the claim. Therefore, an award for fees and costs is appropriate,

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, it will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims’ website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, § 205, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (codified as amended at 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2006)). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to delete medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will delete such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> The applicable statutory provisions defining the Program are found at 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-10 *et seq.* (2006).

pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-15(b) and (e)(1). Further, the proposed amount seems reasonable and appropriate. **Accordingly, I hereby award the total \$87,258.33<sup>3</sup> as follows:**

- **a lump sum of \$78,981.60 in the form of a check payable jointly to petitioner, David Helman, and petitioner’s counsel of record for petitioner’s attorney fees and costs, and**
- **a lump sum of \$8,276.73 in the form of a check payable to petitioner, David Helman, for his personal litigation costs.**

The clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.<sup>4</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Denise K. Vowell**  
**Denise K. Vowell**  
Chief Special Master

---

<sup>3</sup> This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, “advanced costs” as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. See *generally Beck v. Sec’y, HHS*, 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir.1991).

<sup>4</sup> Entry of judgment can be expedited by each party’s filing of a notice renouncing the right to seek review. See Vaccine Rule 11(a).